

MORE THAN 2,000,000 PEOPLE WILL READ THIS ISSUE OF OUR PAPER—THOUSANDS PASS IT ON AFTER READING IT.

OUR SUNDAY VISITOR

IF YOU ARE RECEIVING THIS PAPER REGULARLY, YOU ARE BEING PAID FOR BY SOME BODY.

A NATIONAL WEEKLY

THE HARMONIZER

PRICE TWO CENTS (75 CENTS THE YEAR)

Volume XII

HUNTINGTON, INDIANA, SEPTEMBER 2, 1923

Number 20

The Place of Christianity in the Mediation of Social Order

By John Losaba.

From "What is Wrong? or The World's Plight," The Eacy. Press.

THE OCCASION OF THE REVOLT.

At the beginning of the sixteenth century, under the pretext of abuses and laxity of morals at the seat of the Papacy, certain Christian countries under the leadership of self-appointed and discordant teachers, rebelled against the authority of Rome and formulated different creeds of their own, taken, as they claimed, from the Bible, which they asserted was the only rule of life.

We are not immediately concerned with the justification or condemnation of those who followed that procedure; we are simply interested in showing what the consequences were as far as the world's welfare is concerned. Where the new religion was adopted by the ruling prince it became nationalized; it constituted the exclusion of all other forms of the religion of the State, and is responsible for that exaggerated sentiment of nationalism that was non-existent prior to the Reformation, a sentiment that even aims at making of a national flag a religious emblem, as if Christianity can be restricted within any nation's limits—a sort of tribal cult that would split up Christianity according to political boundaries.

The love of one's own people and country is surely laudable, but when the spirit of nationalism exceeds the bounds of justice it is pernicious and has led in innumerable instances to injustice being inflicted by the stronger nation on the weaker.

The new forms of religion were not the same in the different reformed States; nor in fact was there uniformity in each individual State; there were in every case dissenting sects, so that the new or "reformed" religion, as it was called, did not speak with a united voice, whether to the nations at large or to the people of each individual nation.

On one point only was there and is there agreement, hostility to the old religion, expressed on occasion in bitter persecution now rapidly becoming in its active form—except in North-East Ulster and in certain unenlightened sections of the United States—a thing of the past.

There still, however, lingers on the part of Protestantism an attitude towards Rome of a somewhat uncharitable self-righteousness constituting an embarrassment to clear thinking and impeding the full salutary influence of Christianity in the world's affairs.

The reformed religion enjoyed from the first and has continued to enjoy the protection and favor of the Civil State which surrounded it with all the circumstance that the State could bestow. Nevertheless, on the part of the people beyond a tacit recognition of an official religion there appears to be but little appreciation of its message.

THE FOUNDATIONS OF GREAT WEALTH STOLEN FROM THE POOR.

The appropriation of the old Church's properties and their application to secular purposes deprived the poor and needy of their patrimony and created the tragedy of pauperism in the Christian world.

These properties had been held by the Church as custodian for the lowly. This was followed in England by the ruthless enclosure of the common lands—to increase the possessions of those who already had much, and to rob the poor of the little they still possessed.

Spoliation of the poor, together with the irrational sacrosanct rights, attributed to property by the new evangel, gave the world today what is known as capitalism, the cause of incalculable injustice, distress, and sorrow.

The separated Eastern, or Orthodox, Churches, as they like to call themselves, have had a sad history. The section that came within the dominion of the Turk was ground under his heel, the Russian section became a mere appendage of the State, impotent to deter autocracy from its ruin or save the people from the catastrophe that has overtaken them.

There is no sign that the Protestant Churches of the West can regain whatever influence they may have had at the beginning or that their teaching can convey to the people a moral sanction. Their influence has waned, is still waning, and while reunion is the dearest aspiration of many who sincerely long to serve the Almighty to the best of their lights, the reunion they look for reaches no further than a loose confederation of diversified forms of faith.

It should be noted that neither the reformed religions nor the separated Eastern Churches have promoted the cause of civilization, rather than the reverse.

To turn Europe into a number of camps armed against each other, to saddle the peoples with the cruel burden of universal military service and colossal armaments, to spread corrupting luxury, to relax family ties, to feed the world with inane and pernicious literature, to pander to human passion on the stage is not Christian civilization.

THE RIGHTS OF MAN.

The social condition of the world is determined by the attitude of the individual to his neighbor and the attitude of those collections of individuals called nations towards each other; as that attitude is good or bad, so will be the social condition.

Man is a social being designed by His Maker to live in community. Even when in a savage state he lives in the community of the tribe, in civilized life under ordered government, so that his personal liberties may be protected and he may enjoy the advantages of cultured societies, each member contributing his share to the maintenance of ordered life with interdependence on each other for all that life can give.

Men do not come into the world in every respect equal. Not all are endowed with the same physical or mental qualities, nor are all men's opportunities equal, nor is it within men's power to make them equal, but ALL men come into the world with equal rights to life and liberty.

In the sight of God all men are essentially equal. The meanness of human creatures is endowed with an immortal soul as precious in His sight as that of the most highly favored. To each human being is granted by God rights antecedent to and independent of the prerogatives of Civil Government. The individual does not exist for the State, but the State for the individual.

With man's natural and God-given rights the State must not interfere. With the essence of marriage and all that marriage means, with the rights of parents to control the education of their children, no civil power may justly meddle.

Destined, therefore, to live under social conditions, each individual contributing his or her share to the general welfare and happiness, it follows that these conditions to be perfect must be just, i. e. must not infringe the alienable rights of anyone, and in as far as they fail to be just to that extent are social conditions imperfect.

Happiness cannot be attained if injustice prevails, and whatever impedes happiness in communities or nations impedes the happiness and welfare of that collection of nations called the world.

Today justice does not prevail anywhere, neither between the nations in their relations with each other nor between the different social classes that constitute the different nations.

Was not the late war fought to end all wars, so that the baneful principle "might is right" should not prevail; that the different nationalities should enjoy the privilege of "self-determination"; that no nation, however powerful, should enforce its will on another? All these principles of justice were by the Allies proclaimed from the house-tops.

THE "WAR TO END WAR" DID NOT END IT.

Nevertheless, hardly had the smoke of battle passed away when in a vindictive treaty of peace was sown the germs of other wars, to eventuate perhaps at no great distance of time, wars that must be more terrible than anything that has gone before, thanks to accentuated hatred and the progress of science applied to humanity's destruction.

Under the guise of mandates the victors at Versailles, forgetting the principles they fought for, conferred on themselves the control of unoffending peoples, and this simply to gratify the lust of power and possession.

To determine today whose is the immediate responsibility for the late war profits but little; it may, perhaps, be laid immediately to the charge of certain individuals, but the real cause goes much farther back; it has been festering in the human heart for centuries, and the war that has been fought to make other wars impossible (as we were told), because forsooth of the inauguration of the Reign of Right, has left that heart unchanged; or rather it has accentuated what may be described as international enmity.

It is, perhaps, beyond human power "to make other wars impossible." It is, as in civilized communities police force is indispensable, so may such a far, as in civilized communities police force is indispensable, so may such a far, as in civilized communities police force is indispensable, so may such a far,

Capital and Labor Can Be Harmonized.

Pope Leo XIII closes his outline of the mutual rights and duties of employer and employee with this question: "Were these precepts carefully obeyed and followed out, would they not of themselves be sufficient to keep under all strife and all its causes?" (Encyclical "On the Condition of Labor"). The Catholic programme of social action has done nothing more than to attempt a more detailed application of the great Pontiff's precepts and principles, and to present a few practical methods of making them effective in the industrial situation of the United States. To these methods and recommendations we take the liberty of applying the question just quoted. Socialists, as we are well aware, would return a negative answer. Indeed, they would reject outright the Pope's assumption that the abolition of industrial strife is desirable. For they hold that there exists a necessary and irrepressible conflict between capital and labor, which can be ended only through the abolition of private capital. We who repudiate this social and economic philosophy believe that the interests of capital and labor are in part identical and in part antagonistic. We believe that their common interests can be emphasized and their diverse interests minimized to such an extent that both will in the long run reap from the policy immense advantages. We believe that this outcome is attainable through the exercise of a moderate amount of intelligence, good will, and mutual sympathy. It is easily possible for any employer and any group of workers to put into effect the principles and proposals described in this social programme. In a few instances one or the other party would obtain less material advantages than through the methods of industrial warfare, but even these exceptional individuals and groups would be more than compensated in terms of the higher goods of life. In the great majority of cases, both capital and labor would be better off both materially and spiritually. The solid advantages that would accrue to the community as a whole do not require detailed statement.

The great obstacles to the acceptance of this program are ignorance and selfishness. A very large proportion of both employers and employees do not realize that the way of peace and mutual consideration is also the way of genuine expediency. They are ignorant on this point simply because they have never given the matter a reasonable amount of time and thought. Being human, both parties are selfish. Many capitalists want more power, regardless of the rights of labor. Many laborers want more income and more ease, regardless of the rights and welfare of employers. As stated in the closing paragraph of the Bishop's Program of Social Reconstruction, the urgent need of the time is for a new spirit in the hearts of both workers and capitalists. "Changes in our economic and political systems will have only partial and feeble efficacy if they are not reinforced by the Christian view of work and wealth."—Rev. John A. Ryan, D. D., N. C. W. C. Social Action Department.

POPE LEO XIII'S GREAT ENCYCLICAL ON LABOR---RERUM NOVARUM.

By Robert K. Hull.

When this illustrious Pontiff, whose statesmanship and genius have been universally recognized, issued the great Encyclical Letter on "The Condition of the Working Classes," on May 15, 1891, the world was instantly brought to attention. Friends and enemies agreed as to its importance. The English Socialist, Robert Blatchford, brought out a "reply." The Pope, however, did not expect to please either the Socialists or the hardened bourgeoisie. The very nature of Catholic truth compels the rejection of the fallacy that two classes of mankind are, by nature and must ever be, in perpetual conflict. The godless materialism of neither the militant proletarian nor the selfish and unsocial capitalist can be reconciled with the spirit of Christianity. What the Pontiff was really doing was merely to assert the place of the supernatural in the adjudication of the dispute. Those who think "to live by bread alone" were naturally unsympathetic, but it was not to these that the Pope appealed.

In the 7th chapter of 1st Corinthians St. Paul lays down the conditions upon which the needless strife of the classes may be ended. "Let every man," he said, "abide in the calling in which he was called. Was thou called, being a bondman? Care not for it; but if thou mayest be made free, use it rather. For he that is called in the Lord, being a bondman, is the freeman of the Lord. Likewise he that is called, being free, is the bondman of Christ. You are bought with a price; be not made the bond-slaves of men" (Verses 20-23). This is Christianity's solution of the social question. The religion of Jesus Christ was intended neither to sanction a revolt of slaves nor (as falsely charged by atheistic Socialists) to serve as an opiate of the people. It was the intention of Christ to reach down into the hearts of both master and servant, cleanse both, and bring them together. The Christian bondman became the Lord's freeman; the Christian freeman became the Lord's bondman. The status of master and servant is swallowed up in the greater responsibility of service to God. Did the workman shrink his task because another was reaping the fruit? Shame on him! Had he fallen so low as to become a servant of man? He should take a pride in his work, he was free in Christ—he was responsible to the Divine Lord for the faithful discharge of that task. Did the master look down upon his servant as an underling and an outcast, a clod without rights? Shame on him! He must treat this servant as a brother in Christ, he was the Lord's bondman—and he was responsible to the Divine Lord for how he used one formed after the image and likeness of God. Both were to labor for God and not for man!

Yet, how far the so-called Christian world has left these principles the Pope points out. "The spirit of revolutionary change" is everywhere, provoked as it is, "by the enormous fortunes of some few individuals, and the utter poverty of the masses" (Paragraph 1). It is a most serious matter, "and actually there is no question which has taken a deeper hold on the public mind."

A new slavery, arising from the contract system, has come about, and it is little better than actual physical slavery (P. 4). It is shown how the denial of the rights of the Church and religion has directly contributed to this condition.

The Pontiff then lays down the principle that, in any solution, the right of private property must be taken into consideration. The State, in its very nature, is not competent to administer property publicly; and Socialism, in its denial of the natural right of private property, offends against the principles of common justice. The rewards of labor belong to the laborer, who has a right to enjoy the fruits of his enterprise without social interference (Ps. 6 and 7). It is just in this respect that man differs from the brute—that he provides beyond the needs of the present moment. Hence, thrift and foresight must be duly recognized.

All who love liberty must rejoice because of the stress laid by Leo, on the precedence of individual and family rights over those of the State and community. Against the contentions of the Socialists is the fact of individual and family occupation, tillage and development of the land. By such improvement the land has acquired its value. The possession of land is a necessity to those who use it, and how complex would be the problem of State or collective administration which will be held in an effort to carry out the program of the Catholic Conference of Industrial Problems, taken place in Harmony Hall, with the Rev. Dr. F. J. Haas of St. Francis' Seminary as the principal speaker. More than five hundred laboring men, mostly molders by trade, attended the meeting, which was also addressed by Sam Taylor, of Chicago, state organizer of the Molders' Union and the Rev. Dr. A. J. Meunch.

Milwaukee molders, it was pointed out at the meeting, work under conditions far more unfavorable than those in other cities, with longer hours, less desirable conditions and lower pay. The ten-hour day prevails here.

WORKINGMEN, PLEASE TAKE NOTICE OF THIS KLANSMAN. Mr. G. V. Sanders, former editor of The Houston Press, has won a suit against Billy Mayfield, well but not favorably known in connection with anti-labor activities in the State of Texas. Damages to the amount of \$50 and costs have been awarded.

The suit in question was brought as a result of a high-handed attempt to kidnap Mr. Sanders for having dared to criticize the manner in which Mayfield, acting as colonel in charge of state militia during a legally called strike of longshoremen of the Galveston waterfront about three years ago. Evidently realizing the futility of matching wits with the fearless and outspoken editor, Mayfield then resorted to the overbearing methods of the military junker by ordering Sanders abducted from the Country Club of Houston by men under his charge.

This was with the purpose of dragging him to Galveston for an alleged trial before a military court martial (Continued on Page Three)

LABOR PAPER PRAISES STAND OF CATHOLIC BISHOP. Denver, July 30.—The Colorado Labor Advocate in its issue of July 12 especially mentions the name of Bishop Thelen in an editorial praising the work that some members of the clergy are doing in behalf of labor. The other two gentlemen named in the article are Methodist Episcopal. "The Advocate" said: "While labor has been fighting the twelve-hour day in the steel industry and fighting it bitterly, we better give a large share of the credit for forcing a promise to abolish it from Judge Gary to the Churchmen."

"Bishop McConnell, former Coloradoan, deserves special credit. "But it will become us to condemn all churches and all Churchmen so long as Lackland and McConnell and Thelen and scores of others are fighting by our side—and so effectively."

CATHOLIC TRADE UNIONS. Throughout the Province of Quebec Catholic trade unions are operating. They can operate only because the Province is predominantly Catholic. Everybody concerned holds the same principles of right and wrong. The workers do not strive to "put one over" on the employers when economic conditions give them the power to do it. The employers do not strive to keep men in subjection by crushing them down to the hunger-line. Each subscribes to the same code of justice. If the world could be put in that condition, nations would settle their differences just as easily as the workmen and employers of the Province of Quebec settle theirs. So long as we rely entirely upon law and force we can look for trouble. But before we can expect the world to develop a conscience we must show the world the one fountain of truth. When Protestant leaders tell the world to turn to Christianity, the world can be excused for missing the point of the argument—Catholic Bulletin, July 25, 1923.

WHAT COULD BE MORE JUST? In the recent Catholic Congress on Industrial Problems, held at Milwaukee, there were many instructive addresses. Father Muntch, professor of dogmatic theology and social science in St. Francis Seminary, Milwaukee, summed up some of the moral duties involved in the relations between capital and labor as follows: "Since wages provide for the necessities of human life, wages must be adequate. Whatever difference of opinion may exist as to what constitutes an adequate wage, this much is beyond the question of doubt: First, the great and principal duty of an employer is to give every one a fair wage; secondly, wealthy owners, and employers of labor, must be mindful of the fact that to gather profit out of the needs of another is a crime which cries to the avenging anger of heaven; third, the rich must religiously refrain from cutting down the workmen's earnings by force, fraud, or unscrupulous dealings."

The Congress for the discussion of industrial problems was organized in Chicago last December. "To advance the knowledge and practice of Christ's teachings in industrial life."—The Casket, July 26, 1923.

MILWAUKEE PRIEST SPEAKS TO MOLDERS ON LABOR QUESTION. Milwaukee, Aug. 6.—The first of a series of local meetings on industry which will be held in an effort to carry out the program of the Catholic Conference of Industrial Problems, taken place in Harmony Hall, with the Rev. Dr. F. J. Haas of St. Francis' Seminary as the principal speaker. More than five hundred laboring men, mostly molders by trade, attended the meeting, which was also addressed by Sam Taylor, of Chicago, state organizer of the Molders' Union and the Rev. Dr. A. J. Meunch.

Milwaukee molders, it was pointed out at the meeting, work under conditions far more unfavorable than those in other cities, with longer hours, less desirable conditions and lower pay. The ten-hour day prevails here.

WORKINGMEN, PLEASE TAKE NOTICE OF THIS KLANSMAN. Mr. G. V. Sanders, former editor of The Houston Press, has won a suit against Billy Mayfield, well but not favorably known in connection with anti-labor activities in the State of Texas. Damages to the amount of \$50 and costs have been awarded.

The suit in question was brought as a result of a high-handed attempt to kidnap Mr. Sanders for having dared to criticize the manner in which Mayfield, acting as colonel in charge of state militia during a legally called strike of longshoremen of the Galveston waterfront about three years ago. Evidently realizing the futility of matching wits with the fearless and outspoken editor, Mayfield then resorted to the overbearing methods of the military junker by ordering Sanders abducted from the Country Club of Houston by men under his charge.

This was with the purpose of dragging him to Galveston for an alleged trial before a military court martial (Continued on Page Three)

What is the Cause of Mexico's Plight?

"In the days of the old regime, when the clergy possessed a great number of city and country properties, year after year went by without the shameful evictions to which so many poor families are the victims today. The sordid avarice of the landlords of today had no compassion, in contrast to the clergy who, animated by a spirit truly Christian, overlooked and excused. The Church loaned its capital at a low rate of interest: 4 per cent, 5 per cent, or 6 per cent, which was called the legal rate, a rate unknown today. Very rarely was a foreclosure notice published against a property pledged for a loan from these funds. For this reason, I proposed, at the time of their confiscation, that a bank for the poor be established from the millions of the clergy, but my voice was drowned in the midst of the tumult of passions of the revolution. Because of this, the selfish interests and exactions of today have left homeless the many families who formerly enjoyed the tolerance and charity of the clergy."—Juan A. Mateos, in speech before the Mexican Chamber of Deputies, October 26, 1893.

At the time of confiscation, in 1857, the property held by the Church did not equal the value of the property held by any of the big denominations in the United States at the present time. Trinity (Eps.) N. Y. Parish Corporation is richer than the whole Mexican Church. The Mexican anti-clericals, when they object to "the temporal power of the Church," mean that the Church should not be allowed to own any property.

The revolution against Spain was begun by two priests, Miguel Hidalgo Costilla and Jose Maria Morelos. According to the plan of Iguuala the Catholic Church was secured in Her rights; but the work of one Joel R. Polanset, then U. S. minister to Mexico, who actively promoted Freemasonry among the leaders of the revolutionary forces, after the death of the two priests, gradually brought about the oppressive laws against the Church.

Did the people get the properties formerly belonging to the Church? No, they were practically "donated" to the friends of Mexican Freemasonry. Thus, the father of a wealthy finance minister under Diaz paid \$1,832.40 for church properties worth \$625,528.001. In this way, as in England, the foundations for the fortunes of the owners of the great Mexican hacienda-ranches were laid—out of the wealth of the Church, the patrimony of the poor.

Today, the owners of the big haciendas are anti-clerical because the Church seeks the betterment, both in material means, and in education, of the poor people of Mexico. The hacienda owners want to keep the people down, and they do not like the Church because she advises the poor to working their natural rights. Greed has made the anti-clericals in Mexico. A godless capitalism has devastated Mexico.

When Pres. Victoriano Huerta seemed inclined to deal with justice toward the Church, he suffered the penalty of having his name crossed out of the books of Freemasonry. This was done on Nov. 24, 1914, and the edict is signed by the Grand Master and Secretary of Concordia Lodge No. 17 of the Vera Cruz Grand Orient. When Carranza fled to Vera Cruz he carried with him many car-loads of spoils from the churches of Mexico. "Popular government?" There is no such thing in Mexico, and there never has been. In only one instance—the election of Francisco Madero—was there even an approach to electing a president by an actual vote of the Mexican people. The arrogant oligarchs of the Grand Orient, with their bandit assistants, are responsible for the crucifixion of Mexico and the illiterate condition of her people. They do not want the common people of Mexico to be educated.

The almost ludicrous Villa incident, in which a government actually compromised with a murderer, and allowed him to settle down in peace, after plundering half of the national wealth, is a testimony to the actually moral (?) strength of the Jurisdictional Mexican State.

The Catholic Church and the Social Question

Ever since the day when God said to Adam: "Because thou hast hearkened to the voice of thy wife, and hast eaten of the tree, whereof I commanded thee thou shalt not eat, cursed is the earth in thy work; with labor and toil shalt thou eat thereof all the days of thy life; thorns and thistles shall it bring forth to thee; and thou shalt eat the herbs of the earth; in the sweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread till thou return to the earth, out of which thou wast taken: for dust thou art and into dust thou shalt return." Ever since then the human heart has been filled with sadness. Adam, with his wife, left the "paradise of pleasure" and entered a world of sadness and labor. Unrest and dissatisfaction with his lot has been the birth-right inherited by the sons of Adam.

But we need not dwell on the inspired words of the Old Testament to prove that since the very days immediately following Adam was the curse of God inflicted upon Adam's children. In a fit of jealousy Cain killed his brother Abel. The sons of Seth set about to erect a tower, and because of petty strifes and disagreements, according to the common interpretation of the text, the people were dispersed and their language confused, so that the story of the Tower of Babel is one of the earliest learned by the lapsing child. We could turn page after page of the Bible story and read on nearly every one of them the repetition of unrest and chafing under the yoke placed on man by God.

And from the Bible we turn to profane history. But why take the time to even gather the striking incidents of that sad chronology? We read first of the story of the Greeks, Romans, Egyptians, and those whose history is so intermingled with myth that we hardly know what is history and what is fiction. And it is a record of constant struggle between the mighty and the weak. Nations are the menials of haughty neighbors until a storm dissipates the strength of the master and he in his turn is subjugated by the menial. What is the so-called migration of nations but a record of jealousy? And the shock of the martial tortures, which recently dashed a luxurious world almost to pauperism, has so spent the mental acumen of the historian that he cannot find misery in the past to compare with that of today.

And so will the story of misery be written until the day when "the earth and the works which are in it shall be burnt up." As in the past, so in the present, there are social disorders which cause the lot of man to be hard and unhappy. But so it is ordained. Otherwise "the paradise of pleasure" would still be enjoyed. But, though the lot of man is hard and must necessarily be hard, it is not against the Providence of God that man himself should strive to find a solution of the problems which confront him and thereby make his lot more bearable.—Albert W. Bogg.

The Twelve-Hour Day Must Be Abolished

Still clinging to his belief that the steel-workers prefer to labor for a longer period than eight hours a day, Judge Gary announces that "every effort will be made to abolish the twelve-hour day as soon as possible." His ancient enemy, Mr. Samuel Gompers, scoffs at the sincerity of this sudden conversion, yet since Judge Gary has publicly announced his purpose, thereby placing himself on record, it is only fair that he should be given every reasonable chance to put it into practical effect. It is quite clear that this needed reform cannot be attained by a scratch of the pen. Many problems, not the least serious of which is a possible increase in the price of the finished product, must be thoroughly considered. Whatever increase may be made necessary by improved working conditions, the public is perfectly willing to pay; but it will not long suffer itself to be exploited under the guise of charity and social reform. If Judge Gary is wise enough to read the signs of the times, he will also "make every effort" to insure that the entire increase will not be borne by the public.

However grateful this pronouncement of policy, it can hardly be said that the reasons assigned by Judge Gary for its adoption are satisfactory. He is crusading against the twelve-hour day, he writes, not because it is harmful to the worker or to the community, but because the President and the public think it ought to be abolished. It may seem unchristian to criticize the returning sinner, but it is sometimes advisable to inquire into his motives. Even a reform is unwelcome, if based upon an untenable principle, for the principle may return to plague, long after the alleged reform has been forgotten. The real reason why an excessively long labor day should not be permitted, is not the wish of the public or even of the President, but the fact that it is always a violation of charity, and may be a violation of justice, to treat a man as if he were a beast, a machine, or a mere instrument (Continued on Page Three)

FLIPPANT REPUDIATION IS MISTAKEN FOR SCHOLARSHIP.

(Continued from Page One)

Dr. Martin's next scientist is Copernicus, father of modern astronomy, a Catholic priest, who stood in high favor with bishops, cardinals and popes. Copernicus was invited by the Council of Lateran to advise the bishops in the matter of the calendar reform which was then being studied and which was finally accomplished by Pope Gregory XIII. He advised postponement of final action until the movements of the heavenly bodies should be better known, and this was done, with the result that the Gregorian Calendar, issued seventy years later, is one of the greatest scientific achievements in history. Copernicus' great work, "De Revolutionibus," was published at the instance of churchmen and was dedicated by special permission to Pope Paul III. Dr. Martin does not mention any of these facts; he merely says that "it was a long time before the theories of Copernicus were generally accepted," and leaves it to be inferred that the church was the brake of his progress.

The truth is just the other way around. The adoption by the church of the Gregorian Calendar, based on the very calculations of Copernicus, was the most powerful of all influences exerted to advance his theories.

Next, Dr. Martin mentions a group of three, Sir Thomas More, Erasmus and Rabelais, "who sketched for the world a new order of things, and rebelled against the limitations of the old." The inference here is that More, Erasmus and Rabelais rebelled against the Church, whereas More has been named among the Blessed on the Church's Calendar of Saints; Erasmus was offered the Cardinal's hat by Pope Paul III, but declined it on account of the infirmity with which he died; Rabelais was the recipient of favors from Pope Clement VII, and Pope Paul III, while Cardinal du Bellay, whose physician he was, was his life-long benefactor.

The next to be mentioned by Dr. Martin in his description of the grim warfare of the Church against science, is the Italian scholar Valla, "who not only demonstrated the falsity of the so-called Donation of Constantine, on which the temporal power of the Pope was largely based, but detected flaws even in the Vulgate itself. From that spring flowed a stream of destructive historical criticism."

Thus one would think that the scholar Valla was a very terror to the Church authorities. The truth is, Valla stood high in favor with the Church authorities; he was Apostolic Secretary to Pope Nicholas V, and Pope Callistus III gave him a canonry in St. John Lateran, both subsequent to his exposure of the "Donation." Indeed his researches to prove the falsity of the "Donation" were prompted by Cardinal Nicholas of Coasa who had declared its falsity in his published writings. Valla proved his falsity, and instead of being denounced for it by the Pope, he received both honor and distinction at their hands.

Following his reference to Valla, Dr. Martin next singles out for mention the father of chemistry, whom we know as "Paracelsus," and once more we have a Catholic, one who in his last will arranged for Masses for the repose of his soul, and to whose memory since 1752 there stands a tomb in the porch of the Church of St. Sebastian, in Salzburg. Science turned neither Paracelsus from the Church nor the Church from Paracelsus.

With a mention of Harvey's discovery of the circulation of the blood, Dr. Martin brings to a close the period which he describes as "The Revival of Science at the Close of the Middle Ages." In all, he has singled out for special mention as contributors to the progress of science during this period, nine persons, and eight of them were Catholics and recipients of honor at the hands of the church for their scientific achievements.

It would unduly tax the space of The Courier-Journal, not to mention its readers, to follow through in this manner the other two sections of Dr. Martin's lecture; suffice it to say that a majority of all those he mentions as contributing to the progress of science, were Catholics, devoted to their faith and honored by the church; and most of the others, while not Catholics, were nevertheless Christians, firm believers in divine revelation, and who suffered no restraint from their belief, in the pursuit of scientific truth.

There is no foundation in fact or theory for the assumption that the Christian religion is hostile to scientific progress. Kepler was a Christian; Newton was a Christian; Descartes was a Christian; Bacon was a Christian; the founder of the "Academy of Science" in the Seventeenth Century was a priest; the founder of the famous Journal des Savants was a priest; during the Eighteenth Century no less than twenty-six priests were presidents of the Academy of Science. Steno, not inaptly called the father of geology, was a priest. Kircher, the author of "Mundus Subterraneus" (dedicated to Alexander VIII), in which Sir Charles Lyell said he found inspiration, was a priest. Huy, the master of Laplace, Lagrange, Lavoisier, Berthollet, and the founder of what Buckle calls "the pathology of the inorganic world," was a priest. Secchi, the greatest astronomer in the last generation, and whose "Sun" is yet the most complete examination of all that is known of the structure of that planet, was a priest. The list is well nigh endless.

In the recent French celebration of the Centennial of Pasteur, President Millerand said in a notable address delivered at Strasbourg: "Pasteur showed by his example how the most rigorously scientific spirit can be allied with the sincerest religious beliefs."—Benedict Elder, in Louisville Courier-Journal, July 4, 1923.

THE THRILLING ACCOUNT OF CATHOLIC SERVICE TO AMERICAN PROGRESS.

(Continued from Page One)

The next 100 years! Father Martinez established the first publishing house in America. The first free-schools were opened by Catholics at St. Augustine, and the first University in America was established by Catholics, as also the first public library. The first hospital in America was the famous Hospital de la Puresima Concepcion.

A Catholic laid the first rail for the first railroad in 1827. To Bishop Mullock of Newfoundland is due the laying of the great Atlantic Cable. Thomas Lloyd, the Father of American shorthand, learned it from the Jesuits. A Catholic owned the ground on which the American capitol at Washington now stands. The architect of the White House, James Hoban, was a Catholic. To a Catholic is due the idea of the Erie Canal and the New York City aqueduct system.

Holland, a Catholic, invented the submarine. On April 29, 1905 a Catholic successfully flew an aeroplane a distance of eight miles. His name was Montgomery.

Without the help of Catholic France, Ireland and Poland, America would never have won her independence. Washington publicly expressed his thanks for the help of the Canadian Catholics. There were thousands of native-born and foreign-born Catholics in the Continental army. A Polish Catholic founded the West Point Military Academy. A Catholic was the father of the American navy. On the other hand, Canada was lost to America because of anti-Catholic bigotry. Benedict Arnold urged his hatred of the Catholic Church as one of the causes of his treason.

It is impossible to get away from things Catholic. Every park, glade or glen, in which big trees meet in masks and gowns to plot the overthrow of the American government and the oppression of the Catholic Church, literally reeks with the memory of Catholic enterprise, patriotism and devotion. The only way in which the so-called "native-born American movement" can get away from all things Catholic is to leave these shores. Catholics have always been here, and they are here now to stay.

CATHOLICS HAVE ALWAYS LED IN ART AND SCIENCE.

(Continued from Page One)

One of the few scientists of America who can boast of a recognition by the Royal Astronomical Society of England, is the director of the observatory of Creighton University of Omaha, Father Rigge, S. J.

A FEW MORE.

"Theodor, who discovered anaesthesia and antiseptics in the thirteenth century, was a Bishop. The father of modern surgery, Guy de Chauliac, in the fourteenth century, was a cleric as well as a Papal physician. The father of modern astronomy in the fifteenth century, Regiomontanus, was a Papal astronomer and a Bishop. Copernicus' great text book of astronomy with his new theory was dedicated to the Pope. He himself was a clergyman. It was a Jesuit who, under Papal direction, reformed the calendar. Columbus, who discovered the circulation of the blood in the lungs, was a Papal physician. Caesalpinus, who described the circulation of the blood a generation before Harvey (it is easy to get that description in English) was his successor in the post of Papal physician. Let us come to the seventeenth century. Steno, whose book laid the foundation of modern geology, was a priest and a personal friend of the Pope. Malpighi, whose great books on botany were published at the expense of the Royal Society of England because they were thought so much of, was a Papal physician. Malpighi's name, by the way, is attached to more structures in the human body than that of any other man because of his discoveries. The first great series of text books in science for general use in colleges and universities were issued at the Roman College by Father Kircher, the Jesuit, who made the great Kircherian Museum at Rome. In the eighteenth century Lavoisier, the father of modern chemical medicine, was a Papal physician. Morgagni, the father of modern pathology, (so hailed by Virchow), was the personal friend of four Popes and always stayed with them at the Quirinal when he visited Rome."

CATHOLIC INFLUENCE IN AMERICA'S CIVIC PROGRESS.

(Continued from Page One)

dians in 1526, or eight years before Henry VIII organized his Episcopal Church; that the Pilgrim Fathers were then yet unborn, and the timbers cut of which the Mayflower was made were scarcely seeds in a virgin forest; that twenty years before the founder of Wesleyism was born, the New York Assembly, under the Catholic Governor Dongan, passed a bill of rights guaranteeing religious liberty; that fifteen years before John Wesley established his sect, Father Kaskela became an American martyr; that twenty-eight years previous thereto Father Marquette had completed his labors and passed away at Pointe St. Ignace, Mich.; that thirty-seven years before, Father Peter Martinez, S. J., was murdered by the Indians of Florida—now Cumberland—off St. John's River; that forty-seven years before, Father Jogues met a like glory, and 174 years before, the first Mass was said at St. Augustine's, Florida.

Who of honest purpose can fail to recognize in this brief recital the influence of Catholicity in the newly discovered world previous to its budding into a nation? Who will be unfair enough with truth to deny the momentous influence these facts had in paving the way to the American Republic? As these, however, are days of doubt it may be well to add confirmatory evidence. Speaking of the arrival on the Potomac in March, 1634, of Leonard Calvert, brother of Lord Baltimore, Bancroft in his History of the United States, vol. I, Chapter VII, tell us:

"The Catholics took quiet possession of the little place, and religious liberty obtained a home, its only home in the wide world, at the humble village which bore the name of St. Mary's."

"The foundation of the colony of Maryland was peacefully and happily laid. Within six months it had advanced more than Virginia had done in as many years. . . . But far more memorable was the character of the Maryland institutions. Every other country in the world had persecuting laws; but through the benign administration of the government of that province, no person professing to believe in Jesus Christ was permitted to be molested on account of religion. Under the munificence and superintending mildness of Lord Baltimore, a dreary wilderness was soon quickened with the swarming life and activity of prosperous settlements; the Roman Catholics who were oppressed by the laws of England were sure to find a peaceful asylum in the quiet harbors of the Chesapeake; and there, too, Protestants were sheltered against Protestant intolerance."

The same historian tells us that the General Assembly of Maryland on April 2, 1649, enacted the following law: "Whereas the enforcing of conscience in matters of religion hath frequently fallen out to be of dangerous consequence in those commonwealths where it has been practiced, and for the more quiet and peaceable government of this province, and the better to preserve mutual love and unity amongst the inhabitants, no person whatsoever within this province, professing to believe in Jesus Christ, shall from henceforth be anywise troubled or molested for his or her religion, nor in the free exercise thereof, nor in any way compelled to the belief or exercise of any other religion against his or her consent."

To emphasize the importance of this enactment both in its relation to the subject in hand and to the discredit of the brazen un-American movement abroad in the land today, it is quite opportune to add the historian's opinion of the Act itself. He says:

"The design of the law of Maryland was to protect freedom of conscience; and some years after it had been confirmed, the apostologist of Lord Baltimore could assert that his government had never given disturbance to any person in Maryland for matters of religion; that the colonists enjoyed freedom of conscience, not less than freedom of person and estate, as amply as ever any people in any place of the world. The disfranchised friends of Prelacy from Massachusetts and the Puritans from Virginia were welcomed to equal liberty of conscience and political rights in the Roman Catholic province of Maryland."

How cogently and brilliantly, how creditably and incontrovertibly these passages from early American history show the influence of Catholicity on the civic progress of the forming Republic. It is clear enough to all but those who will not see. However, stubbornness of dissent from the truth cannot destroy it. Therefore, we would invite those, who thus oppose themselves against the historian's chronicle, to a sharp scrutiny of the Constitutions of the States and of the Republic, asking them to note how closely these instruments conform in principle and text to the Maryland Act of 1649 on the matters of liberty of conscience and political rights. What can it mean except that these provisions were copied from the Maryland Act? What does it show if not Catholic influence in the civic progress of the nation?

Then, the old missions of the far Southwest tell their story of the influence of Catholicity on the development, the character and the life of the country. In an order issued by George Washington on November 5, 1775, prohibiting Boston bigots from burning the Pope in effigy, he said: "As the Commander-in-Chief has been apprised of a design for the observance of that ridiculous and childish custom of burning in effigy the Pope, he cannot help expressing his surprise that there should be officers and soldiers in this army so devoid of common sense as not to see the impropriety of such a step. It is so monstrous as not to be suffered or excused; indeed, instead of offering the most remote insult, it is our duty to express public thanks to our Catholic brethren, as to them we are indebted for every late success over the common enemy."

And, again, in answer to an address of Catholic Americans, complaining of unlawful restraints laid upon their worship in several States, he declared: "I hope ever to see America among the foremost nations in examples of justice and liberty, and I presume your fellow-citizens will not forget the patriotic part which you took in the accomplishment of their revolution, and in the establishment of our government."

Thus all too briefly, and with apologies to its real merits, runs the story into the early years of the Republic; a story which triumphantly carries the influence of Catholicity in the civic progress of the nation to the point of approximate domination; a story, too, which should be as familiar to Catholic Americans as their morning and evening prayers. And yet, observe how far away we are from the present—a convincing proof of the magnitude of our crime. What, then, of the years that follow? To keep within appropriate space the answer can only hope to contain statement of most salient facts.

With the Revolutionary War at an end the new nation at once embarked on an era of amazing upbuilding and expansion. And so did Catholicity. At the beginning of that war the Catholic population scarcely exceeded 26,000. Yet in less than half a century thereafter, or more definitely in 1822, we find it grown to such proportions that the Holy See divided the country into nine dioceses. Sixty-eight years subsequent to this event the Census Bureau gave us a population of 6,257,871. Sixteen years later, or in 1906, the same authority credited us with an increase of 93 per cent, the exact figures being 12,079,142. Finally, in the intervening years we have added another 10,000,000, giving us a present population—exclusive of our possessions—of at least 22,000,000.

But covered up in the statistics of 1890-1906 are facts of such importance as demand specific mention, because of their brilliant illustration of our subject. For instance the changes in this period transferred the States of Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, New Jersey, Michigan and Wisconsin from the Protestant to the Catholic column; that Colorado and Wyoming, which show Catholic majorities in 1890, showed Catholic pluralities in 1906; and that one State, Connecticut, had changed its Catholic plurality to a Catholic majority.

As briefly as it can be summarized this is the story, past and approximately present, of Catholic influence in the civic progress of our country. Truly, it is one of the marvels of American development.

Pressing upon the nation are problems which threaten the family, the home, the child, the State and the very life of the nation itself. These problems indicate our duties and determine our responsibilities. All these problems are projected under the banner of civic progress. Most of them, however, are merely the theories of a new and vicious school of thought. They must be impressed, therefore, with the benign benefits of the same Catholic influence that has been so potent in the past periods of the nation's dangers. And particularly is this true of those problems which touch the people in their religious, social and political life.

If it be religion that is threatened, we must become more religious. If it be political rights that are imperiled, we must busy ourselves with politics—not as partisans, but as patriots. If it be the social life that is endangered, we must apply the safeguards of Catholic ethics and Catholic philosophy.

Who does not see that dangers of this description are thick and fast upon the times is, indeed, a slow and careless observer. What about the family and the home, and the uprooting of both through the disintegrating evil of divorce? What of the encroachments of the State upon the rights of each through paternalistic legislation? What of ethics and conscience in commercial life? What of honesty and honor in private and public life?

How plainly apparent that social and economic conditions touch the home. But what touches the home, touches religion and the State; and the State is absolutely dependent on the home, that is, the family, for its very existence.

But what of the solution for these problems, some may ask? According to Catholic contention there is but one solution. It is religion. Or to put it in more definite form, the solution is simply the reestablishment in the minds and hearts of men of the reign of the Ten Commandments. To this end Catholic American citizens should consecrate their activity and their energy. And the proportion of their success will be the measure of Catholic influence upon the civic progress of their country in their own day. Who falls in his individual contribution is false to his Faith, false to his citizenship, and false to the glorious Catholic traditions of his country.

IT'S EASY TO FIGURE OUT WHERE ARNOLD WOULD TAKE HIS STAND.

"Do you know that the eye that guides this pen lately saw your mean and profligate Congress attend Mass for the soul of a Roman Catholic, and take part in the rites of a Church whose anti-Christian corruption your pious ancestors would have witnessed against with their blood?"—Benedict Arnold's address to the Continental Army, "Remembrancer" 1781, page 21.

It would seem, from the above, that Arnold, too, was one of the "100 per centers." The incident referred to was the attendance of Washington and some members of Congress at the funeral of the Spanish envoy. Has a very familiar sound, doesn't it? The anti-Catholic fanatics have accused practically every President we ever had of partiality to the Catholic Church. Our "mean and profligate" fathers, according to the Klan, made a terrible mistake in not requiring a religious test. While Cotton Mather, of the "Pilgrim Fathers," was hanging Quakers in New England, Catholic Maryland was granting religious liberty to professors of every creed.

The "patriots" have reason, also, to be proud of another great (?) historical character amongst their progenitors. We refer to William Claiborne, member of the Virginia Council, and enemy of Lord Baltimore. This "100 per center" went among the Indians and organized scalping parties which swooped down on the Maryland Catholic colonists and, for a long time, threatened their extermination. The affinities of anti-Catholic bigotry are easily discernible. Here in America we have separation of Church and State. The State stands aside altogether from alliance with or antagonism to any religion. The enemies of the Church wish to introduce the foreign system of State oppression of the Catholic religion, which was originated by Philip the Fair of France and Henry VIII of England. Should they succeed, we may expect the gallows of Tyburn once more, and the bloody oath of state-supremacy over the Church.

ANOTHER FORGERY UNMASKED

The following concoction which was born of its time and of its place, came to us in the columns of a Klan paper:

"Several Reasons for the Knights of the Ku Klux Klan. . . . 'Papal Encyclical Number XIV. The Roman church has a right to the discipline of the public schools, and in the arrangement of the studies of the public schools, and in the choice of the teachers for these schools.'"

"Papal Encyclical No. XLVII.—'Public schools open to all children of the country, and the young should be under the control of the Roman church, and should not be subject to the civil power, nor made to conform to the opinions of the age.'"

"Papal Encyclical No. XIX.—'The Roman church has a right to exercise its authority without any limits set to it by the civil power.'"

"No comment is necessary. Think it over." Our readers will note the occurrence of the obnoxious epithet "the Roman church" in each of the above encyclicals. No Catholic ever uses it, since it is a term of reproach, much less one of the Supreme Pontiffs. In the second place, none of the Encyclicals are numbered in this manner (XIV, XLVII, XIX, etc.) Such a fake will impress nobody who can analyze. Really, the "antia" should do better than this.

The Catholic Church attempts no supervision in other than Her own domain. The Public Schools, such as have in this country, are under the control of the State; and there they will always remain, as far as the Catholic Church is concerned. The Church has no jurisdiction save over Her own subjects.

Here are some utterances from bitter anti-Catholics. They show plainly what the enemies of the Church are.

"You have nothing to do but to bear your cross. Your religion—I spit on it—as on all, on Catholic, Orthodox, Jewish, Mohammedan and the rest. There is no law but the law of the majority, and you must die."—Prospector Krilkenko, in trial of Archbishop Zepik and Vicar-General Butchkevitch.

"The mysterious voice, announcing (to Julian, the Apostle) the death of Pan, today announces the death of the impostor, God, who promised an era of peace to the people who believed in him."—Senator Delpech, President of the Grand Orient of France.

"So long as a sorrow-stricken woman kneels down before an altar and derives there any comfort and relief, we shall never be able to make a revolution effectively; so long as children shall be reared on the knees of such mothers, those children will never be the men who are called to form the new humanity, but idiots, such as have in this country, as in such numbers today."—Enrico Malatesta (Italian Anarchist) in Umanita Nuova.

"The interrogation of the future will be 'Are they trained in religion?' There is an abundance of instruction in everything else. What the world needs today is religious instruction."

"The work of the school should be honestly dealt with. Either deal with the subjects in the Bible honestly, or leave them alone. You must teach boys and girls to do the right thing. Sustained conviction of righteousness, instilled by proper instruction, will supply the power upon which the nation will rest in the future."—Secretary Hughes, May, 1923.

"The most prominent characteristic of the early colonial schooling," writes Cubberly, "was the predominance of the religious purpose in education. . . . The catechism was taught, and the Bible read and expounded. . . . Everywhere the religious purpose was dominant." (Education in the United States, pp. 28, 29). "Everywhere and at all times in the colonial period, the religious element was prominent in the schools. . . . Every school taught the catechism. (Monroe, Cyclopedia of Education, II, p. 119). So undeniable was their religious character that a recent historian, James Truslow Adams, in 'The Founding of New England' criticizes them as preoccupied by religion. 'Its (the school's) original object, and almost the sole use to which it was put was religious' (p. 270). 'Everywhere,' writes

Small, "the school was secondary to the church." And he adds, "In many settlements there would have been no schools but for the self-sacrifice of this same clergy. This is eminently true of the Plymouth Colony" (Early New England Schools, p. 88).

CATHOLIC TOLERANCE IN SOUTH IRELAND

The commission consisted of twelve members, eight Catholics and four Protestants. Lord Glenary, chairman of the Free State Senate, is a Protestant. Formerly he occupied the position of Lord Chief Justice and Lord Chancellor, and was a strong supporter of Carson and all he stood for.

Addressing a public gathering in Dublin recently he declared: "I have lived all my life in South Ireland, all my life amongst my fellow-citizens of Dublin, and I wish to record, not for the first time, my experience that never once during that long time have I ever had to complain either of religious intolerance or religious persecution."

ENEMIES OF CHRIST "PUT BELLS ON."

New York, June 23.—"The devil has a campaign on in New York. He is trying to make it appear that Christianity is something that every teacher can construct to suit himself," remarked Don O. Shelton, president of the National Bible Institute, in an address here recently.

"From the beginning Christianity has been misrepresented. The warfare now is not primarily with the application of Christian truth but against the historic facts of Christianity and men's guesses and inventions. And this contest is planned and conducted by ministers and theologians who are inside the churches. Who are these people to be so elated over their anti-Christian views that they put bells on themselves. The situation is astonishing."

THEY ARE NOT OPEN TO REASON.

The latest rebuke to the Ku Klux Klan comes from New York. The Americanism was tried out on the battlefields of Europe. In Indianapolis one of the strongest Klan centers, Col. William J. Donovan, retiring president of the Rainbow Division Veterans' Association, said before that body that patriotic Americans cannot be made by the club or the torch or the bayonet. He said that if every American could read the names on the rows of crosses in the war cemeteries, it would meet the challenge of any of the problems that this country has, because there would be found listed among the dead men of every race, faith and creed, who sacrificed all in a common patriotism. Colonel Donovan should know that the kind of men who engage in Klanism are not open to conviction; that the sacrifices to patriotism of Catholics of negroes, and of Jews mean nothing to their bigoted minds.—Louisville Post, July 19, 1923.

THE GENTLE ANSWER.

In a fit of anger, at what he considered shabby treatment from an old friend, an aggrieved man sat down and wrote a most insulting letter to his friend. The latter, on receiving it, was very angry at the tone of the letter and its unjust accusations. His first thought was to send back a stinging reply. But on further reflection he said to himself:

"Is it worth while? I shall only get another back, and perhaps write a worse one in return. Then we shall be enemies for life." So he wrote a kind and soothing letter, which brought an apologetic one back, and those men are friends today.

PATRONIZE OUR ADVERTISERS.

MAKE \$50.00 DAILY, taking orders for \$5.00 (minimum) factory price. Paid sales. Wonderful values. Your pay daily. We deliver and give a special bonus to each agent. \$100.00. S. Union St., Dept. 17, Chicago, Ill.

"The mysterious voice, announcing (to Julian, the Apostle) the death of Pan, today announces the death of the impostor, God, who promised an era of peace to the people who believed in him."—Senator Delpech, President of the Grand Orient of France.

"So long as a sorrow-stricken woman kneels down before an altar and derives there any comfort and relief, we shall never be able to make a revolution effectively; so long as children shall be reared on the knees of such mothers, those children will never be the men who are called to form the new humanity, but idiots, such as have in this country, as in such numbers today."—Enrico Malatesta (Italian Anarchist) in Umanita Nuova.

"The interrogation of the future will be 'Are they trained in religion?' There is an abundance of instruction in everything else. What the world needs today is religious instruction."

"The work of the school should be honestly dealt with. Either deal with the subjects in the Bible honestly, or leave them alone. You must teach boys and girls to do the right thing. Sustained conviction of righteousness, instilled by proper instruction, will supply the power upon which the nation will rest in the future."—Secretary Hughes, May, 1923.

"The most prominent characteristic of the early colonial schooling," writes Cubberly, "was the predominance of the religious purpose in education. . . . The catechism was taught, and the Bible read and expounded. . . . Everywhere the religious purpose was dominant." (Education in the United States, pp. 28, 29).

"Everywhere and at all times in the colonial period, the religious element was prominent in the schools. . . . Every school taught the catechism. (Monroe, Cyclopedia of Education, II, p. 119). So undeniable was their religious character that a recent historian, James Truslow Adams, in 'The Founding of New England' criticizes them as preoccupied by religion. 'Its (the school's) original object, and almost the sole use to which it was put was religious' (p. 270). 'Everywhere,' writes

Small, "the school was secondary to the church." And he adds, "In many settlements there would have been no schools but for the self-sacrifice of this same clergy. This is eminently true of the Plymouth Colony" (Early New England Schools, p. 88).

"The most prominent characteristic of the early colonial schooling," writes Cubberly, "was the predominance of the religious purpose in education. . . . The catechism was taught, and the Bible read and expounded. . . . Everywhere the religious purpose was dominant." (Education in the United States, pp. 28, 29).

MSGR. NOLL'S JUBILEE

On this happy eventful day This tribute to his feet I lay, And commemorate with spirit whole Thy Jubilee, Monsignor Noll. All nature smiles with these glad hours For to thy life a new chapter's born In thy Silver Jubilee: May it the Sacred Heart adore.

O'er this great nation wide From the Pacific to the Atlantic side Thanks from inmost heart and soul For thy grand work Monsignor Noll! Ah! thy mission here on earth Guiding souls of men since birth Joys and sorrows thou hast while Glorified with heaven's smile.

I would send thee flowers fair Roses rare to form a part But these gifts could not compare With offerings to the Sacred Heart. May that dear and Sacred Heart Favor mortal—Oh! priest of God! And crown thy Jubilee at God!

—Mrs. Thomas Spring.

BIG FARM BARGAIN.

1780—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1781—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1782—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1783—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1784—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1785—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1786—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1787—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1788—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1789—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1790—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1791—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1792—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1793—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1794—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1795—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1796—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1797—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1798—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1799—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1800—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1801—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1802—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1803—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1804—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1805—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1806—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1807—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1808—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1809—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1810—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1811—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1812—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1813—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1814—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1815—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1816—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1817—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1818—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1819—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1820—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1821—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1822—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1823—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1824—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1825—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1826—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1827—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1828—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1829—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1830—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1831—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1832—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1833—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1834—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1835—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1836—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1837—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1838—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1839—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1840—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1841—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1842—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1843—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1844—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1845—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1846—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft. x 10 ft. 1847—Barn, 24 ft. x 40 ft

...SCHOOL...
...KANSAS...
...1923...
...Nurses...
...ALL...
...Book...
...\$1.25...
...are...
...York...

PATRONIZE OUR ADVERTISERS.

Invest Safely @ 6%

Catholic Church Property

Bonds \$100, \$500 and \$1000 each
These bonds are secured by the property of the Catholic Church in Chicago and are guaranteed by the Catholic Church of Chicago and the Catholic Church of the United States.

Interests will be forwarded by registered mail at our risk to investors outside of Chicago, or if desired we will forward the bonds to your own bank at which payment may be made.

A THOROUGHLY RELIABLE INVESTMENT

WRITE TODAY for our circular which will be mailed to you without any obligation on your part.

Thos. McDonald & Co.
11 South LaSalle Street, CHICAGO

FREE to the Armless
The Armless Society of Chicago is now accepting applications for its new "Armless" device. This device is a simple, practical, and effective means of enabling the armless to perform many of the tasks of daily life. It is made of light, strong material and is easy to use. It is a great help to the armless and is a great boon to the community. Write today for a circular and a sample of the device.

A CLEARED FLORIDA FARM FOR \$500
In the heart of the beautiful Florida Everglades, there is a cleared farm of 100 acres. The farm is in excellent condition and is ready for immediate occupancy. It is a great opportunity for anyone who wants to own a piece of land in Florida. Write today for a circular and a map of the farm.

One Copy FREE to Every HOME in America!

322 Pages of Style and Bargain News
Over 3 1/2 million women say it's like clipping Liberty Bonds. This is the only paper of its kind in America. It is a great help to the woman who wants to keep up to date on the latest in fashion and style. It is a great boon to the woman who wants to save money on her shopping. Write today for a circular and a sample of the paper.

Over 3,000 Surprising Offers!
Every home in America should have a copy of PHILIPSBORN'S 1923 Fall and Winter Style Book. It is a great help to the woman who wants to keep up to date on the latest in fashion and style. It is a great boon to the woman who wants to save money on her shopping. Write today for a circular and a sample of the book.

Careful, Quick Service!
Our new, improved shipping service is a delight to our 3 1/2 million customers. It is a great help to the woman who wants to keep up to date on the latest in fashion and style. It is a great boon to the woman who wants to save money on her shopping. Write today for a circular and a sample of the service.

Money-Back Guarantee!
Lowest prices and the greatest deal in America is in PHILIPSBORN'S 1923 Fall and Winter Style Book. It is a great help to the woman who wants to keep up to date on the latest in fashion and style. It is a great boon to the woman who wants to save money on her shopping. Write today for a circular and a sample of the book.

Send Coupon or Postal TODAY!!!
You surely want this delightful free 322-page Style Book with over 3,000 surprises. It is a great help to the woman who wants to keep up to date on the latest in fashion and style. It is a great boon to the woman who wants to save money on her shopping. Write today for a circular and a sample of the book.

PHILIPSBORN'S Department - 372 - Chicago, Ill.

PHILIPSBORN'S, Dept. 372, Chicago, Ill.
Please send FREE copy of PHILIPSBORN'S 1923 Fall and Winter Style Book and Shopping Guide to:

Name _____
Address _____
City _____ State _____

Local Address (PLEASE WRITE PLAINLY) _____

IMPORTANT NOTICE! The demand for this book is so great that we are unable to supply all the orders. Write today for a circular and a sample of the book.

Bureau of Information

Questions are answered, as far as possible, in the order received, hence those who seek information through this Bureau must not become impatient if the answer to their queries does not appear for several weeks after we receive same.

Always direct questions to BUREAU OF INFORMATION or to DEPT. Q. in separate envelopes. Questions should never be written on same sheet with subscription.

Of late I have been receiving several copies of "The Good Citizen," a rabid sheet published at Zarephath, New Jersey. The editor is one "Bishop" White. I read in one of the eastern papers that this "bishop" (a woman) had some trouble recently trying to get a Klan organized at Bound Brook, N. J. What information have you, if any, on her?

We had almost forgotten the militant Alma. Yes, the Brooklyn Tablet investigated her some few years ago. At that time she was holding forth with her "Pillars" in New York and preaching every night to an audience of three dozen. When the correspondent asked one of the "holy hoppers," as the New Yorkers would have called them, why they were "mad" at the Catholic Church so, she said "The Catholic church down the street is full at every meeting, and here we have only 36." A tract of land at Zarephath, New Jersey, was presented to her, where she established the headquarters of her cult. She married the Rev. Kent White, a former Methodist preacher, and her son, Arthur K., joined her after he found his Methodist pastor (horrible dictu!) chewed tobacco.

The "Bishop," who sports a cassock, priest's collar and rabat, brought suit against her husband, the Rev. Kent White, for divorce, and the hearing occurred during October, 1921, at Trenton, N. J. It seems that Alma had to take time from her religious work to do the cooking, in order that the hordes of visiting preachers, whom her husband would run in on her, might satisfy their hunger—and she didn't like it. "Hubby" pulled off from the "pillars," too, and organized the rival "Church of the Unknown Tongues," and this further aggravated the trouble. Poor "bishop"! She kept up a constant travel between the U. S. and England to get reconciled to "hubby," crossing the ocean thirty times in all—ten times during the war, she told the New York World. (She said it was five trips during the war, in a sermon). The divorce was not granted.

Denver, Col., is the western headquarters for Alma's "Pillars of Fire," where she publishes another paper, under this name. In December, 1920, the Rev. Thos. A. Goode brought a suit against her for alienating the affections of his wife, and charged that the "Pillars" taught husband and wife should not live together. When last heard from Alma was telling, in her "Pillar of Fire," all about the "Protestant Victory in Longmont, Col.," where she had lectured on the Klan and tried to incite the citizens against the Catholic Church. She charged that the Longmont papers had "sold out to Rome" because they printed the local priest's protest against the use of property belonging to all the citizens, Catholics included, to harbor the Klux enterprise of Alma. She claimed the recent election at Longmont, as a result of her work, showed an "overwhelming majority of seven to one" in favor of the Klan; but the citizens of Longmont evidently knew nothing, themselves, about this "great victory."

Please answer, for me, the following question: Why am I a Catholic? This question was asked me some time ago and I was unable to give a fit answer.

We can give but a very cursory answer to this question owing to lack of space. You might say I am a Catholic because I believe the Catholic Church is the one true, Holy,

Catholic, Apostolic Church founded by our Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ. I believe that it is the Church established by Christ in whose membership and under whose guidance I can save my soul. I believe that it receives its teaching authority from Christ Himself, that the Holy Ghost preserves the Church from error when she teaches her children what they are to do and believe in order to be saved. I am a Catholic because I believe that this Church founded by Christ is given the power to forgive sins, whereby grace is brought to my soul. I am a Catholic because I believe that Christ dwells, really, truly and substantially present in the Holy Eucharist, to be our comfort, guide and the food of our souls. I am a Catholic because this Church is the greatest consolation in life and the safest security at death.

Troubled Soul, Louisville, Ky. Let this answer suffice for many others. Those evil and blasphemous thoughts are not serious sins in your case. You do not want them. They are only temptations which in themselves are not sinful. If persons resist them, as you do, and if they are displeased at them, temptations become the "raw material of heavenly glory." This is what Father Faber says. You must not think that your case is exceptional or that you are abandoned by a good God and loving Father. Often these mental conflicts and obsessions result from some nervous trouble. You ought in that case consult a competent and conscientious physician. His advice will no doubt be the same as that of the priest. Do not worry, try to keep up a happy disposition; and avoid things which perhaps bring on these unwelcome thoughts.

Reader, Newark, N.J.—You seem to have a good case. Take it up with your pastor.

M. E. B.—You will have to apply for a dispensation thru your pastor.

Sorrowful.—You did no wrong. He was under age.

Uncasy E.—Forget everything and follow your confessor's advice.

Discouraged.—Meet in the presence of other people, preferably in your own home.

Sorrowful.—Don't worry; you had the right to do so.

E. C.: Ciborium offerings have been received. Thank you.

H. A. S.—Have your Catholic friend get a printed form from his pastor.

IN READING HISTORY THINK OF THIS.

"The grinding and hopeless poverty, just above the verge of actual starvation, so prevalent in the present time, did not belong to medieval life."—Industry in England, Gibbins, p. 177.

Speaking of the medieval guilds of workmen and artisans, Ashley says, that they "did for a time, and in a large measure, succeed in reconciling the interests of consumers and producers."—Economic History, Vol. 2, page 168.

The Elizabethan Poor Laws, made necessary because of the confiscation of the monastic estates and the consequent deprivation of the tenants, Rogers says, "made low wages, famine wages, traditional, and these wages, insufficient by themselves, were supplemented from the poor rate."—The Economic Interpretation of History, page 43.

Discovers New Kind of Heat Which Banishes Coal and Wood

Mr. R. M. Oliver, of St. Louis has discovered a new kind of heat that dispenses entirely with coal and wood. It is a device which burns 50 per cent air and 5 per cent kerosene, and is installed in one minute in any cook stove, heater or furnace. This new invention is already sweeping over the country like wildfire. It eliminates hours of work, perspiration and drudgery. It does away with coal and wood, lowers the cost of heat three times the heat in winter. Just turn a valve and you have plenty of heat for cooking or heating. Another turn and the heat is off. It fits any stove without change of parts—simply sets in the furnace. It is absolutely safe and generates its own gas from kerosene. Mr. Oliver will send you an attractive booklet if you write for it. Just address him at 214-1 Oliver Bldg., St. Louis. He is making a special low introductory offer, including a 30-day free trial plan. He is looking for good live local distributors who want to earn time and money. Some who started a short time ago earn \$500 a month. Drop him a line today.—Ad.

OUR SUNDAY VISITOR

Pope Leo XIII's Great Encyclical on Labor---Rerum Novarum.

(Continued from page one)

control. Nothing can take the place of the Church's charity. "Virtue it is not unless it be drawn from the Sacred Heart of Jesus Christ." Oh, how true! How shameful is the patronizing "charity" of pride, and how humiliating the duties of the county! It is the duty of the State to emphasize the interests of the laboring-classes who ever make up the majority of its citizens, for the gift of civic authority is derived from God. The rich do not need protection so much as the laboring man—yet the commonwealth must suppress agitators who urge to violence. The property of the employer and the physical well-being of the workman must be equally safeguarded. And not only physical, but soul well-being. The following words of Leo XIII touch the sublime heights, and they should be hailed by all as constituting the emancipation proclamation of man: "No man may with impunity outrage that human dignity which God Himself treats with reverence, nor stand in the way of that higher life which is the preparation for the eternal life of Heaven."

It is necessary that men should enjoy the Sabbath-rest; and they should have shorter hours in proportion as the labor is more severe or trying to health. Tender children must be spared. The Pope administers a stern rebuke to those who contend that "wages are regulated by free consent, and when the employer has paid what he agreed to he has done his duty" (P. 44). For labor is not only personal. It is necessary. The preservation of life is a bounden duty; and one has a right to procure what is necessary to life. Then, the question of Labor's right to employment is not left to the tender mercies of the so-called "law of supply and demand" alone.

As a remedy the Pontiff proposes the formation of workmen's associations, somewhat on the lines of the ancient guilds of artificers. The right of association is a natural right—the State cannot properly forbid it. It is guaranteed also by Holy Scripture (Eccl. 4:9, 10; Prov. 18:19; P. 50). These societies should be organized on a Christian basis, otherwise they will be exposed to the danger of being led by conscienceless and godless men. This is just what "distinguishes the Christian from the heathen" (P. 57)—he seeks first the Kingdom of God. Such associations are and have been a success, as the religious communities prove; but unfortunately the State, often incited by infidels, has frequently spoiled and seized their properties. When a workman feels himself wronged he may have redress, and even those outside the fold may be attracted by the example of true brotherly love (Ps. 68, 69, 71).

The Church, ever democratic and making room for true merit wherever found, no matter how humble in station, is surely in a position to mediate between the classes which kneel—all upon a plane of perfect equality—before Her altars. The Church is ever ready to assist in the uplift of mankind; and She will do so as She enjoys the greater liberty of action. It is very significant that Premier Mussolini should recently declare Her to be the only Internationalism which deserves the respect of men.

(Note: The references in the foregoing are to paragraphs of the Encyclical.)

The Twelve-Hour Day Must Be Abolished

(Continued from Page One)

of money-getting. It was on this basis that Leo XIII, following the theologians of the Church, condemned excessive hours for the worker. It is not well to forget that an obligation imposed by charity is not one which we are free to fulfill or not according to wish or whim. While it is not the same as the obligation arising from justice, it is, nevertheless, a real obligation which may not be neglected without a breach in the moral order. To defraud a worker of his hire violates justice; to refuse to help him when he is in real need, if I can do so, violates charity. In each instance a real obligation is violated, although in each instance the obligation arises from a different source, and in each instance a sin, to use Catholic phraseology, is committed. Again, if I take advantage of a man's necessitous condition to induce or permit him to work under circumstances which injure his health, either because the hours are too long or because the place in which he works is unsanitary, I do not violate justice if I pay him the agreed wage, but I do violate the obligation imposed by charity because I am treating a fellow-man as if he were a beast or a machine. As a human being, made to the likeness and image of God, he has a dignity which, as Leo XIII points out, God Himself respects and which none may outrage with impunity. And that dignity assuredly is outraged by injustice or by want of charity.

All this is apart from the social aspects of the twelve-hour day. Except in special instances, and for reasons of the greater good, man himself has no more right to work to the detriment of his health or to the detriment of his obligations as a social being than an employer has to force him to such work. Nor can it be for the general welfare of the community at large to permit conditions in the mills or in any industry, however necessary it may appear to be, which force men to live like beasts and, not like human beings, capable of contributing his share and inspiration to the common good.—America, July 1, 1923.

ITEMS OF INTEREST FROM THE PRESS.

(Continued from page one)

convened by his captor. This outrageous assumption of power and aggression of the rights of citizenship was only prevented by the help of Sanders' friends. Mr. Sanders will be remembered as having been charged with contempt of court because of editorial comment upon the arrest of Editor Cohen, of the Memphis Labor Review, who defied the Daugherty injunction. —From Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen's Magazine, July, 1923.

KLAN EASY ON ITS BOOT-LEGGERS.

Richard Spillane, writing in Commerce and Finance, quotes an interview with R. L. Slaughter, land mortgage banker of Austin, as follows: "There is one crop from which year after year we have had a bad return. That is politics. It formerly was one of Democrats and Republicans principally Democrats. Then for a time it was wet and dry. Now it is Ku Klux and anti-Ku Klux. It even affects the courts. If a man vio-

SUNDAY, SEPTEMBER 2, 1923

WORRY EXEMPT BONDS

6%
Security

CATHOLIC CHURCH PROPERTY

BONDS: \$500 and \$1000 each
Interest Coupons payable semi-annually
Maturities 2-3-4-5 and 6 years

NON-SPECULATIVE AND ABSOLUTELY SECURE
Each Bond is certified by Chicago Title & Trust Co.
Full Particulars Upon Request

CREMIN & O'CONNOR

105 North Clark Street, Chicago, Illinois
For 37 Years
we have sold CATHOLIC CHURCH and CATHOLIC INSTITUTIONAL Securities Without a Loss to the Investor.

SPECTACLES FREE! ON TRIAL

Send No Money
Let me send you two of my Free Trial pair of my famous "True Fit" Spectacles. Hundreds of thousands of people are now using everywhere. These spectacles will enable anyone to read the smallest print, thread the finest needle, see far or near, and prevent eyestrain or headache. If after trying them for 10 days and nights you are amazed and delighted, and think them equal to glasses sold elsewhere at \$1.50, send only \$1.50. If you don't want to keep them, return them and there will be no charge. Send No Money! Pay no C.O.D.! Beautiful gold-colored Spectacles Case FREE! Just send your name, address and age on the coupon below and spectacles will be sent you at once on 10-day Free Trial.

NAME _____
ADDRESS _____
CITY _____ STATE _____

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

Write today for your Free Trial Spectacles. If I like them I will pay \$1.50. If not I will return them and there will be no charge.

